UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,888	11/26/2003	Mary Jean Cash	10290	1668
Hercules Incorp	7590 01/11/200 porated	EXAMINER		
Hercules Plaza		ISSAC, ROY P		
1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19894-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/722,888	CASH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roy P. Issac	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
·=	, —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6 and 9-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) . Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2)	ite atent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/9/04; 5/27/04</u> .	6) Other:	.,					

DETAILED ACTION

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C § 119(e) from the provisional

application 60/429,291 filed 11/26/2002.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-3 and 7-8 in the reply filed on 12

December, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and

specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons set

forth in the restriction requirement mailed 12 October, 2006 and because the

response was made without pointing out any supposed errors, the requirement is

deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 4-6 and 9-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to

37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no

allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction

requirement in the reply filed on 12 December, 2006.

Therefore, claims 1-3 and 7-8 are examined on the merits herein.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term

"thixotropic" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation "less than" is not clearly defined in the specification. The lack of lower limit in the ranges claimed renders the claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bormeister et. al. (German Patent No. 233,377 A1 English Translation; PTO-1449, Included by the applicant).

Bormeister et. al. discloses a carboxymethylcellulose that forms highly thixotropic aqueous solutions and reversible gels and has thickening effects.

(Abstract). Note that the range claimed in the instant application, "less than 0.9"

Application/Control Number: 10/722,888

Art Unit: 1623

or "less than 0.8" is considered to included a urea content of zero as well. As such, claims 1-3 are deemed anticipated by Bormeister et. al.

Claims 1-2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamide et. al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,579,943; PTO-1449, Included by the applicant).

Kamide et. al. discloses the synthesis of carboxymethylcellulose, with a degree of substitution of 0.1 to 0.64. (Abstract). Kamide et. al. discloses carboxymethylcellulose having urea/water ratio of 1.94/97.09. (Example 4, Column 13, lines 5-10). Kamide discloses a carboxymethylcellulose with degrees of substitutions ranging from 0.09 to 0.75. (Example 2, Table 2; Columns 9-10). The CMC was prepared using 10g of regenerated cellulose immersed in 50g of a 5% by weight solution of NaOH (stoichiometric by weight), and further reacting with sodium monochloroacetate. Note that claims 7 and 8 are in the product-by-process format. The CMC of Kamide appears to be the same product as the instant application because it is prepared by a similar procedure and has degree of substitution overlapping with that claimed herein. When the reference teaches a product that appears to be the same as, or an obvious variant of, the product set forth in a product-by-process claim although produced by a different process. See In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983) and *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP § 2113. The applicant has noted that the CMCs of Kamida are

of relatively low degree of substitution. However, the range of Kamida's degree of substitution (0.1 to 0.64) overlaps with the claimed degree of substitution (about 0.6 to about 1.2) in the instant application. The applicant has asserted that the CMCs of the current invention are derived from Cellulose I, not cellulose II or regenerated cellulose. (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 2) However, the claims are not limited to cellulose I. The product made by the procedure as claimed is deemed to be the same as that of Kamide et. al.

No Claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy P. Issac whose telephone number is 571-272-2674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roy P. Issac Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623